The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT SEATTLE 10 T-MOBILE WEST, LLC and Civil Action No.: C14-1455RSL 11 INDEPENDENT TOWERS HOLDINGS, [PROPOSED] ANSWER OF MEDINA LLC, 12 **RESIDENTS** 13 Plaintiffs, 14 VS. 15 CITY OF MEDINA, WASHINGTON, 16 Defendant. 17 18 Applicant Intervenor-Defendants Medina Residents, an unincorporated association; 19 Cynthia F. Adkins and John F. Harris, a married couple; Kanan and Bhavnish Lathia, a married 20 21 couple; Eric and Sarah Oeltjen, a married couple; Laurel and Steve Preston, a married couple; 22 Brett and Jenny Duncan, a married couple; and RespectMedina, a Washington nonprofit 23 organization (collectively, "Medina Residents"), by and through its attorneys G. Richard Hill and 24 Ian S. Morrison, McCullough Hill Leary, P.S., answer the First Amended Complaint for 25 26 27 MCCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS 28 [PROPOSED] ANSWER OF APPLICANT INTERVENOR-701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600 DEFENDANTS MEDINA RESIDENTS - Page 1 of 13

Seattle, Washington 98104 206.812.3388 206.812.3389 fax

Declaratory and Injunctive Relief ("First Amended Complaint") filed by Plaintiffs T-Mobile West LLC and Independent Towers Holdings, LLC (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), as follows:

I. ANSWER

- 1. Answering Paragraph 1, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 2. Answering Paragraph 2, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3, Medina Residents admits the allegations in the paragraph.
- 4. Answering Paragraph 4, Medina Residents answers that this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5, Medina Residents answers that this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6, Medina Residents answers that this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the statute cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7, Medina Residents answers that this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required,

Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the statute cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.

- 8. Answering Paragraph 8, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11, Medina Residents answers that this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the statute cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.

- 16. Answering Paragraph 16, Medina Residents admits that Voicestream received a permit for a wireless facility adjacent to Fairweather Park and Nature Preserve, which speaks for itself, in October 2002, and denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17, Medina Residents admits the allegations in the first sentence of this paragraph. As to the allegations in the second sentence of this paragraph, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the same.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20, Medina Residents admits the allegations in the first sentence of this paragraph. Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer the remaining allegations in the paragraph, and on this basis denies the same.
- 21. Answering Paragraph 21, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22, Medina Residents admits that the proposed wireless communication facility at issue is an 80-foot tower located in Fairweather Park and Nature Preserve on the north side of SR-520, and otherwise denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23, Medina Residents admits the current temporary facility has expired, and with regard to the remaining allegations in the paragraph, Medina Residents is

without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.

- 24. Answering Paragraph 24, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 25. Answering Paragraph 25, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 26. Answering Paragraph 26, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 28, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 29. Answering Paragraph 29, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30, Medina Residents is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer, and on this basis denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 31. Answering Paragraph 31, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 32. Answering Paragraph 32, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33, Medina Residents answers that this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required,

[PROPOSED] ANSWER OF APPLICANT INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS MEDINA RESIDENTS - Page 5 of 13 MCCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600

Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the Code provisions cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of their content.

- 34. Answering Paragraph 34, Medina Residents answers that this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the Code provisions cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of their content.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35, Medina Residents answers that this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the Code provisions cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of their content.
- 36. Answering Paragraph 36, Medina Residents denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37, Medina Residents denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 38. Answering Paragraph 38, Medina Residents denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 39. Answering Paragraph 39, Medina Residents denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 40. Answering Paragraph 40, Medina Residents admits the allegations in this paragraph.

- 41. Answering Paragraph 41, Medina Residents admits that the City manager signed the lease agreement with Independent Towers and otherwise denies the remaining allegations in the paragraph.
- 42. Answering Paragraph 42, Medina Residents admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 43. Answering Paragraph 43, Medina Residents admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 44. Answering Paragraph 44, Medina Residents admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 45. Answering Paragraph 45, Medina Residents answers that this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the Code provision cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 46. Answering Paragraph 46, Medina Residents answers that this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the Code provision cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 47. Answering Paragraph 47, Medina Residents admits that the City's staff issued a report on the Proposed Tower on July 9, 2014 ("Staff Report"), which speaks for itself, and otherwise denies the remaining allegations in the paragraph. Medina Residents denies that the Staff Report recommended approval of the "Proposed Facility," and respectfully refers the court to page 38, which states "Staff does not have a recommendation for the variance applications."

[PROPOSED] ANSWER OF APPLICANT INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS MEDINA RESIDENTS - Page 7 of 13 MCCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600 Seattle, Washington 98104 206.812.3388 206.812.3389 fax

- 48. Answering Paragraph 48, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph and respectfully refers the court to the Staff Report referenced therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 49. Answering Paragraph 49, Medina Residents admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 50. Answering Paragraph 50, Medina Residents admits that Independent Towers presented evidence at the hearing, and otherwise denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 51. Answering Paragraph 51, Medina Residents admits that Independent Towers presented evidence at the hearing, and otherwise denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 52. Answering Paragraph 52, Medina Residents admits that Independent Towers presented evidence at the hearing, and otherwise denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 53. Answering Paragraph 53, Medina Residents admits that Medina Residents, among other citizens, presented evidence at the hearing, and otherwise denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 54. Answering Paragraph 54, Medina Residents denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 55. Answering Paragraph 55, Medina Residents admits the allegations in this paragraph, and respectfully refers the court to the Hearing Examiner transcript for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.

[PROPOSED] ANSWER OF APPLICANT INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS MEDINA RESIDENTS - Page 8 of 13 MCCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600

Seattle, Washington 98104 206.812.3388 206.812.3389 fax

- 56. Answering Paragraph 56, Medina Residents admits the allegations in this paragraph, and respectfully refers the court to the Hearing Examiner decision for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57, Medina Residents states that there is no paragraph 57 in the First Amended Complaint.
- 58. Answering Paragraph 58, Medina Residents admits that on September 8, 2014, Plaintiffs sought reconsideration of the City's denial of its permit application and otherwise deny the allegations in the first sentence of this paragraph. As to allegations in the second sentence of this paragraph, Medina Residents admits that opponents of the proposal moved to dismiss Plaintiffs' reconsideration request as untimely, denies the remaining allegations in the sentence, and respectfully refers the court to the documents referenced therein for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 59. Answering Paragraph 59, Medina Residents admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 60. Answering Paragraph 60, Medina Residents admits the allegations in the first and second sentences of this paragraph. As to the allegations in the third sentence of this paragraph, this sentence calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the statute cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- Answering Paragraph 61, Medina Residents denies the allegations in this paragraph.

- 62. Answering Paragraph 62, this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the statute cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 63. Answering Paragraph 63, this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same.
- 64. Answering Paragraph 64, Medina Residents restates the answers to each paragraph fully as set forth herein.
- 65. Answering Paragraph 65, this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the statute cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 66. Answering Paragraph 66, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 67. Answering Paragraph 67, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph, and respectfully refers the court to the report referenced therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 68. Answering Paragraph 68, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 69. Answering Paragraph 69, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph.

[PROPOSED] ANSWER OF APPLICANT INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS MEDINA RESIDENTS - Page 10 of 13 MCCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600

Seattle, Washington 98104 206.812.3388 206.812.3389 fax

- 70. Answering Paragraph 70, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 71. Answering Paragraph 71, this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same.
- 72. Answering Paragraph 72, Medina Residents restates the answers to each paragraph fully as set forth herein.
- 73. Answering Paragraph 73, this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same and respectfully refers the court to the statute cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 74. Answering Paragraph 74, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 75. Answering Paragraph 75, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 76. Answering Paragraph 76, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 77. Answering Paragraph 77, Medina Residents denies the allegations in the paragraph.
- 78. Answering Paragraph 78, this paragraph calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Medina Residents denies the same.

II. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 1 2 Having answered Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, Medina Residents, as further 3 answer and as affirmative defenses, now alleges as follows: 4 1. The Court is without jurisdiction to hear the Plaintiffs' challenge. 5 2. The Plaintiffs lack standing. 6 3. The Plaintiffs' action is barred by law. 7 The Plaintiffs fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 8 4. 9 5. The Plaintiffs' claims are premature and not ripe for adjudication. 10 6. An adequate remedy at law exists for the Plaintiffs' claims. 11 III. REQUEST FOR RELIEF 12 Medina Residents, having answered the Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, and having 13 14 asserted affirmative defenses, now prays that the Court: 15 1. Deny and dismiss the Complaint with prejudice; and 16 2. Such other relief as the Court may deem just and equitable. 17 /// 18 /// 19 /// 20 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] ANSWER OF APPLICANT INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS MEDINA RESIDENTS - Page 12 of 13

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600 Seattle, Washington 98104 206.812.3388 206.812.3389 fax

1	DATED this 24 th day of November, 2014.	
2		Respectfully submitted,
3 4		s/G. Richard Hill WSBA # 8806
5		McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY PS 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600
6		Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: 206-812-3388
7		Fax: 206-812-3389 Email: rich@mhseattle.com
8		s/Ian S. Morrison
9		WSBA #45384 McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY PS
10 11		701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600 Seattle, WA 98104
12		Tel: 206-812-3388 Fax: 206-812-3389
13		Email: imorrison@mhseattle.com
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	[PROPOSED] ANSWER OF APPLICANT INTERVENOR-	McCullough Hill Leary, PS

[PROPOSED] ANSWER OF APPLICANT INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS MEDINA RESIDENTS - Page 13 of 13

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600 Seattle, Washington 98104 206.812.3388 206.812.3389 fax